G. R. S. 59] GPB—15,000—22 7-14

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1892-3
~~191~~.
(Mulrai)

File No. 372

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

Passing orders on the Appeal petition from the ~~Heads~~ Shanbhogs of the Sri Prasanna Krishnaswami Temple at Mysore against the order of the Palace Controller in the matter of the distribution of a Saimuk Kanike paid by the Sri Sannidhi Mutt.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES. LATER REFERENCES.

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT OF ARMY SUPPLY

1128

17. డిసెంబర్ 1894

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

ಪೈಸೂಲ್ ಬಿನ್ ಅಬ್ದುಲ್ ಅಜೀಜ್ ಅಲ್ ಸೌದ್
ಸೌದಿ ಅರೇಬಿಯಾ ರಾಜ್ಯ

ಶಾಂತಿ ಮತ್ತು ಸಹಬಾಳ್ವೆಯ ಮೂಲಕ ಜಗತ್ತಿನ ಜನರ ಸೇವೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಸೌದಿ ಅರೇಬಿಯಾ ಸಾಮ್ರಾಜ್ಯವು ಬದ್ಧವಾಗಿದೆ. ಇಸ್ಲಾಂ ಧರ್ಮದ ಪವಿತ್ರ ಸ್ಥಳಗಳಾದ ಮಕ್ಕಾ ಮತ್ತು ಮದೀನಾಗಳ ಸೇವೆಯ ಗೌರವವನ್ನು ಹೊಂದಿರುವ ಸೌದಿ ಅರೇಬಿಯಾ ಸಾಮ್ರಾಜ್ಯವು ಇಸ್ಲಾಂ ಧರ್ಮದ ಮೂಲ ತತ್ವಗಳಾದ ಶಾಂತಿ, ಸಹಿಷ್ಣುತೆ, ಸಹಬಾಳ್ವೆ ಮತ್ತು ಸಹೋದರತ್ವಗಳನ್ನು ಎತ್ತಿ ಹಿಡಿಯುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಬದ್ಧವಾಗಿದೆ. ಈ ಮೂಲ ತತ್ವಗಳ ಆಧಾರದ ಮೇಲೆ ಸೌದಿ ಅರೇಬಿಯಾ ಸಾಮ್ರಾಜ್ಯವು ಜಗತ್ತಿನ ಎಲ್ಲ ಜನರೊಂದಿಗೆ ಸಹಕಾರ ಮತ್ತು ಸಹಬಾಳ್ವೆಯ ಸಂಬಂಧಗಳನ್ನು ಬೆಳೆಸಲು ಬಯಸುತ್ತದೆ.

ఇందు రవల్ల. కొవిలిఖిత పుస్తకం
ఖైదీల సంఖ్య 1-5 ~~ఉన్నది~~ నిలువుకోళ్ళ
ఉన్నచోండ్లకు మరలించుటకు బం
ధువులు అనుమతించుట. లేఖలలోని
ఖైదీల శిక్షాంతర్గత వివరములు

ఇట్లు 22-8-94 తేదీన

Supdt

Murari

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಸೂಪರಿಂಟೆಂಡೆಂಟರವರ
ಹುಜೂರು ಕಚೇರಿಯಿಂದ.

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ ಶೆಟ್ಟಿ ನಿವಾಸರು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ತಿನಬಾಂಪವರಿಂದ
ಗುಂಬಾ ಅಗ್ರ ~~ಹಾನುಬಳ್ಳಿಕುಚ~~ ಹಾರದಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಕೃಷ್ಣನನ್ನಕ್ಕೆ
ಎಡಿಷನಾಲಿತ ಜಿ-ಜಿ-ನರಸಿಂಹಾಚಾರಿಯಾಂರವರಿಗೆ
ಇಂಡಾರಸ್ ಪುಟು.

ನೀವು ಹುಜೂರಿಗೆ ಖಾಹಿರ್ಮಾಡಿಕ್ಕೊಂಡ
ಕ್ಕೆ ಮಾಪೆ 20 ನೇ ಮಕ್ಕಂ 297 ನೇ ನಂಬರ್
ಜ್ಞಿ ಪರಾಂಬರಿಸಲಾಯಿತು

ನಿಮ್ಮ ಕೋಂಲಂತೆ ಯಂಕೆ. ಚಾಂಲ್ಲಿ ಯಿನವಿ
ನೀಮುರಕ್ಕಂ 1171 ನೆನಂಬರ್ ಶಾನ್ನಿ ಮಂಪಲ್ಲಿ.
ಗ್ರ. ಅದ್ರಂಪ ಕೆಲಪತೆರ್ ಕ್ಕಾ ಲಿ. ಕಚಾರಂರ್ಮಾತಿಶಿಯ
ಂತರನೆ ಕ್ಕಳುಹಿಸಿ ಲಲುಬ್ಲೆ.
ಪ್ರವತ್ಕಳುಹಿಸಿ ಕೊಂಪಲ್ಲು ಲಲುಲ್ಲಿ. /- ಂಲಕೊಂ
ಜಲಸಾಪಂವನ್ನ ಕ್ಕಾವಿಗೆ. ಪ್ರಿ ಕ್ಕಾಂ ಂಗುಬ್ಲಿ.

ysore Government Central Press, Bangalore.—8-5 93-

ಮೈಸೂರ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಸೂಪರ್ಡೆಂಟೆಂಡೆಂಟರವರ ಹುಜೂರು ಕಛೇರಿ ಯಿಂದ.

~~ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಅನಿಸಿಕೆ ಪೆಸರು~~

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Secy

one and half rupees stamps affixed. Reg No. 295

8

MUZARAI.

(General Serial No. 30.)

1894.

From

The Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore

To

The Palace Controller

Mysore

Dated 2

Despatched 2 Mar 1894

Received

Enclosures No. 1 file 1pp

General No. 1171
Muzarai F. No.

Cancelling the order of the Palace Controller in the matter of the distribution of a Sree-mukha Kanike paid by the Sri Srimgeri Matt in the Sree Prasanna Krishnaswamy temple in Mysore Fort.

Mysore Govt. Central Press, Bangalore.—25-9-93-1 000.

9

Proceedings of the Govt. of Mysore

Muzrai.

Read :-

Appeal petition from the Stanikas of the Sri Prasanna Krishnaswami temple in the Mysore Fort, against the order dated 27th February 1892 passed by the Palace Controller in the matter of the distribution of a Srimukha Kanike paid by the Sri Sringeri Matt.

Order thereon No 1171 Enl file VP.
Dated Bangalore 2 May 1894.

The facts of the case are as follow :—

On the occasion of the car festival which took place in 1891 in the Sri Prasanna Krishnaswami temple at Mysore, a Srimukha was sent from the temple to the Sri Sringeri Swami who in honor thereof paid a sum of Rs 15/-. The whole of this amount was claimed by the Stanikas of the temple on the ground that according to the terms of the Ayakat Patta issued by H. H. the late Maharaja, they, the Stanikas, had the sole right to such Kanikés. A reference on the subject was then made to the Sringeri Matt and the Agent in his reply No. 118 dated the 24th September 1891 stated that

-the

the money was not intended for the temple but had been paid as a present to those who had taken the Srimukha to the Matt. Thereupon, the Palace Controller in an order dated the 27th February 1892 directed that the money in question should be divided among the temple servants as particularised below:—

Four Stanikas @ Rs 2/- each	Rs 8-0-0
Two Sherustedars	3-0-0
Gumasta	1-0-0
Shanbhogue	1-0-0
Manegar, Adyápaka and also one Srimaramenegar @ 12as each	2-4-0
Total	Rs 15-0-0

In support of his order, the Palace Controller added the following reasons:—

That the Ayakat-Patti referred to merely states that the Stanikas should appropriate to themselves the Kanikés received from "Two Classes of Men" (Great-men) but makes no express mention of Matha.

2ndly that in the reply received from the Mutt's Agent it was stated that the presents made were for those who had carried the Srimukha to the Matt.

3rdly that the practice obtaining in other temples was to divide all such Kanikés among all the temple servants

2 All the temple servants except the Stanikas received their shares as per Controller's order but the latter demurred

and

and declined to receive the sum of Rs 8/- allotted to them. This sum is in consequence still held in deposit.

3. The Stanikas have now appealed against the said order and their chief contentions are, that they are entitled to the whole of the Kanikè in question as per terms of the Ayakat Patti issued by H.H the late Maharaja under his seal and signature and that the practice of the other temples referred to by the Palace Controller will not be applicable to their case inasmuch as the Ayakat Patti on which they rely was one specially issued to regulate the services of the Sri Prasanna Krishnaswami temple of which the Petitioners are the Stanikas.

4 It is true as observed by the Palace Controller that in the Ayakat Patti no mention is made of any Matt. But yet the expression therein Two to ಎರಡು ದೊಡ್ಡವರು (great men) is in practice taken to include Matts also. This is evident from the fact that the Kanikè paid by the Sri Parakala Matt is wholly appropriated by the Stanikas only and none else are allowed to share in it. This fact is not disputed by the other temple servants. Also in the reply received from the Agent of the Srungeri Matt he desires that mamool should be observed as regards the distribution of the amount paid by the Matt. The mamool appears to be so far as the Prasanna Krishna Swami temple in question is concerned, to allow the whole amount to the Stanikas themselves.

5. Further, these presents are paid

for

for reading the temple Srimukha before the persons to whom they are addressed and in the present case it is not denied that it was the Stanikas that actually carried the Srimukha to the Sri Sringeri Matt and read it before the Swami. If other temple servants had accompanied the party and were present at the reading of the Srimukha, that by itself cannot give them a claim to share in the amount.

6. The appellants' contention that the practice in the other temples will not bind them is also a sound one inasmuch as there is a special Ayakattatte for the temple in question.

7. For these reasons the order ~~of the Palace Controller~~ appealed against directing the payment of a portion of the amount paid by the Sringeri Matt to the other temple servants is cancelled.

8. The Palace Controller will accordingly issue instructions to recover the sum of Rs 7/- paid to the marginally noted servants from their next pay and to pay over this amount together with the sum of Rs 8/- held in deposit to the Stanikas (appellants).

* 2 Shesadedars Rs 3-- --
Gumasta 1-- --
Sambhapa 12--
Dhanegar 12--
Adyapaka 12--
Srimanneilar 12--

Draft.

Secretary

To

The Palace-Controller

Mysore. returning the Ves. Record with the ~~Petitions~~ Correspondence concerned ——— Copy ———